

MVNA minutes: October 26, 2020

Mountain View NA Monthly Meeting: October 26, 2020

In Attendance: attendance based upon Zoom meeting participation

Board members: Beth Hoover, chair; John Bennett, NART rep and parks; Sarah Spernak, secretary; Jason Barber, social media/website

Attendees: Michael Whitter, Carolyn Clontz, Robyn Lewis, Sarah Worthington, a person named Julia's Ipad, and a telephone participant, Daniel Hauswald,

- I. Meeting called to order by Beth at about 6:03 pm.
- II. Motion to approve meeting minutes
- III. Motion by Jason Barber for approving August minutes; John Bennet seconds the motion; unanimously passes.
- IV. NART Report – John Bennett.
 - a. Meeting recapped the NA council candidate forums
 - b. Second item on NART agenda was the redrawing of NA boundaries – how do they get adjusted fairly for best representation.
- V. Parks and Rec Report – John Bennet
 - a. Shared the Parks and Rec was very responsive to John's call regarding dogs off-leash. Parks and Rec providing A-frame notices regarding dog leash policies.
- VI. Land Use Report – Carol Elwood is absent so the report is in full from Carol's emailed report.
 - a. Short term rental application on Yellow Ribbon Dr. Comment period ended September 8th.
 - b. Approval for Cell tower conditional use permit and facility site plan, at 2625 NE Butler Market Rd.
 - i. [Carol] received notice from Debrah and Jerry Curl of intent to appeal the cell tower approval at 2625 NE Butler Market Rd. They state that they have exhausted local appeal opportunities, and will be taking it to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeal (LUBA).
 - c. [Carol] attended a pre application meeting, for Phase II of Canal Row Commons. On Thornhill Lane, adjacent to Empire extension. Phase I is nearing completion. Phase II will be 2 more 3-story apartment buildings of affordable housing. Some neighbors had concerns because of dust, noise, and blasting on weekends. Rock crushing shouldn't be needed for this next phase, and they were provided with project manager's contact so they can be alerted about weekend work.
 - d. An application was received for apartment complex, 2 buildings and 28 units. On Holliday Ave. Comments were accepted until September 15th.
 - e. Notification and hearing on October 7th, before the City Council, on several Development Code changes.
 - f. [Carol] attended a pre application meeting for 20 single family homes in RS zone. Location is 62849 Daniel Road. Some neighbors had concerns about the survey, and distance from their fences. Developer will follow up with them.
 - g. [Carol] received notice of the September 2nd decision to approve the TSP (Transportation System Plan).

- h. Application submitted for 30-lot subdivision of single family homes in RS zone, 2989 Wells Acres Rd. Comment period ended October 8th.
 - i. An application was submitted for a 2-building, 34-unit apartment complex on Ocker and Barrett Ct. They are requesting a 5-ft. variance for one building, a waiver of the sidewalk requirement, and requesting a wider radius for the cul-de-sac. The comment period ended October 13.
 - j. Another short-term rental at 1925 Cliff Drive. The comment period ended October 20th.
 - k. [Carol] attended an October 14th pre application meeting for modification/expansion of the approved Petrosa Master Plan. They want to annex and then develop ~12 acres south of Butler Market Rd, with ~49 lots for single family homes. Also included would be a small addition to their already-approved commercial area, and additional open space along the canal. [Carol] voiced appreciation for the roundabouts already constructed by them at Deschutes Market and Eagle Rd. [Carol] also expressed concern that their traffic would increase the urgency for the Wells Acres/Butler Market roundabout. Their traffic engineer said he believes, while still needed, our intersection has been mitigated for any impacts from Petrosa. Eagle Rd will be increased from a local to collector street, and will be 3 lanes. They are asking for a lower speed limit on Eagle, at least to 35 mph.
 - l. There will be a November 9th hearing before the Planning Commission for proposed code amendments regarding processes for Type II and Type III development applications. They will consider changes for public meeting, notification, and comment processes. For those concerned with opportunities for public input, this would be of interest to you.
 - m. [Carol] received notice of another short-term rental application, on NE 11th. This is near but outside MVNA. The comment period ends November 5th.
- VII. Fireworks Report – Michael Whitter
- a. Lynn Nebus (sp?) has started a fireworks work group for NAs. She is motivated to have Bend Police (Bend PD) enforce ordinances against illegal fireworks in Bend. The new work group will meet on October 27, 2020 to make a plan for moving forward.
 - b. Tentative plan is to meet with Bend PD. / Michael thinks this will end up being an issue before the City Council.
- VIII. Neighborhood Street Safety Improvement - Robin Lewis with the City of Bend
- a. Traffic circles are no longer maintained by the Bend City – they no longer wish to take care of them. This means that citizen committees must adopt the traffic circles or they will not be maintained in any way.
 - b. Question was posed by another NA about landscaping traffic circles: there is a volunteer committee called the Bend Beautification Program – groups can create their own (Cheryl Howard runs the program).
 - i. This group can request to volunteer to plan, install, and maintain landscaping at residential traffic circles and along roads in the park strip.
 - ii. The aim is to create opportunities for people to work together – increase community building.

- iii. Application process is to email choward@bendoregon.gov (Cheryl Howard), submit a plan, check off the safety videos/release forms.
 - 1. Volunteers are to keep track of hours so City can track how successful the program is for City.
 - iv. Robin suggests connecting with local schools adjacent to the area – EX) Ensworth Elementary for Daggett and MVHS to Wells Acres parking strips.
 - v. Beth asked about money we can use for this and Josh Romero said we cannot use NA money for this program, so we would have to seek donations.
 - vi. Beth opens the floor for comments regarding the project.
 - 1. Julia introduces self and says she might be interested in a beautification program.
 - 2. Daniel H. introduces self and says he is possibly interested but his primary reason for joining in today was to seek information on slowing traffic down – specifically Hawk View Road.
 - 3. John Bennett shares concerns regarding costs and needing research on what type of landscaping we would do.
 - 4. Carolyn agrees regarding John’s points.
 - 5. Sarah suggests getting word out to see if there is interest and then organize groups based on zones of interest.
 - 6. Beth agrees that this would be a good place to start – maybe get a survey out, too.
- IX. New Topic: Bend’s zoning laws regarding right away regulations and the preservation of trees.
 - a. Beth begins by sharing Carol’s email regarding the issue
 - b. Robin Lewis weighs in on a little bit of the history regarding right away regulations.
 - c. John Bennett asks if this new push for revising the right away zoning is only for roadways or new development – there is some things that are unclear.
 - d. Proposal is to go from a 60’ right away to a 50’ right away to save trees.
 - e. Board discusses briefly and decides that we are not ready to vote on a statement of approval, but we would like to invite Karon to our November meeting to provide more information.
- X. NLA and the NA Boundary Work Committee – meets the first week of November.
 - a. The proposal is to meet monthly and Beth asks for a board member to put themselves forward to be on the committee. John B volunteers as long as he can take information to the committee that represents our board’s positions and not just his own.
 - b. Carolyn Clontz reminds the group that the count for “how big an NA is” comes down to tax lots, not actual people. In the past, we have used “door numbers” and found the individual address for each “door” in our neighborhood – this allows us to get mailers to each dwelling but the City counts it by tax lots. That means that a large parcel of land with one building but many “doors” counts as only one tax lot. Carolyn points out that it really isn’t very equitable based on how the divisions have been made historically (est. in the early 2000s).

XI. City Council Forum Debrief

a. Beth asks what kind of follow up do we want from the list of people who attended?

b. We agree that a follow-up email from MVNA to the participants saying thank you for attending and reminder about your November meeting.

XII. Meeting adjourned